

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,870	09/22/2003	Masaaki Futamoto	1021.39339VX1	4321
24956 7	590 02/07/2006		EXAM	INER
	Y, STANGER, MALUR	RICKMAN, HOLLY C		
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD . SUITE 370		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	JA, VA 22314		1773	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		עע			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/664,870	FUTAMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Holly Rickman	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 N	<u>lovember 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>09/717,213</u> . ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/22/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/664,870

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected apparatus, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on11/17/05.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 7-11 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6686070. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are fully encompassed by claims 1 and 5-8 of US 6686070.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: "film" is misspelled as "file" in line 2 of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "film formed below the perpendicular magnetization film" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is not clear which "film" this limitation is referring to – i.e., the magnetic back film or soft magnetic films. For purposes of examination, the examiner has interpreted the claims to require that any layer below the perpendicular recording layer has a thickness of 10-100 nm.

Claim 9 is rendered indefinite by the phrase "the soft magnetic film formed underneath the perpendicular magnetization film are Fe-Si-B...." It is not clear from this limitation whether the claim requires all of the soft magnetic films to be formed from the recited materials or just one soft magnetic layer (i.e., "the soft magnetic film" is not plural).

Claim 10 is rendered indefinite by the recitation of "the non-magnetic film...formed under the magnetic back film." It is not clear how "the non-magnetic film" can be between the soft magnetic layer pairs and under the magnetic back film at the same time. Does Applicant

Art Unit: 1773

intend to claim "a non-magnetic film"? The claim has been interpreted in this manner for purposes of examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claim 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shukh et al. (US 68183309).

Shukh et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having a soft magnetic underlayer structure having a plurality of soft magnetic layers alternating with non-magnetic intermediate layers of Ru. The soft magnetic layers are antiferromagnetically coupled (i.e., magnetization of each soft magnetic film has different orientation from other soft magnetic layer in pair). A perpendicular recording layer is deposited on the soft magnetic layers. The reference also teaches the use of an additional soft magnetic layer underneath the aforementioned structure. This layer corresponds to the claimed ferromagnetic layer set forth in claims 10. Ssee Fig. 3 and col. 4, lines 39-62 for description of claim 7; col. 5, lines 6-10 and 15-18 for claims 8-9 and 11 and col. 9, lines 6-19 for description of claim 10.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,870 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Holly Rickman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773